

The Issue Of Transphobia Among Private Sector Workers

Tejram Naik

Assistant Professor, Janki College of Education, Raigarh Chhattisgarh

Abstract:

It is said that 4.9 lakh transgender individuals reside in India, making up just 1/6th of the country's total population, according to data from the 2011 census. Most transgender persons, according to research, experience discrimination, harassment, and abuse at work. To better understand transphobia among private sector workers, the current study was conducted. An investigation was carried out. Using the purposive sample approach, 150 private sector workers from Maharashtra and Karnataka were studied. The Enthenoma a transphobia assessment tool was the Transphobia Scale, developed in 2005 by Darryl B. Hill and Brian L.B. Willoughby. There's an important

Depending on their job title, private sector workers range in their levels of transphobia and gender discrimination. Moreover, the results indicate that depending on their job type and state of residence, there is a notable variation in gender bashing among private sector employees.

Keywords: Workers in the private sector, transgender workers, gender-bashing, and transphobia /genderism.

Introduction:

The term "trans-phobia" refers to a group of concepts and phenomena that include a variety of unfavorable attitudes. sentiments, and behaviors directed at transgender individuals or transness in general. Transphobia can manifest as feelings of discomfort, violence, hatred, dread, or repulsion. directed against those who defy societal gender norms. It is frequently used in conjunction with homophobic viewpoints and is therefore frequently regarded as a homophobic feature. Similar to racism, transphobia is a form of bias and discrimination and sexism, and transgender persons of color frequently experience all three types of discrimination simultaneously.

In addition to raising the danger of violence and other threats, transphobiatheprogressjournals.com related stress can have detrimental emotional effects repercussions that cause drug addiction, escaping from home (as a minor), plus an increased suicide rate. Slowly but surely, non-discrimination and equal opportunity policies have been established in the Western world the chance. In developing countries, tendency is also beginning to take shape. Campaigns pertaining to the LGBT community are also spreading globally to enhance the societal acceptance of gender identities that are unorthodox. The efforts to "Stop the Stigma" among these developments is that of the UN.

The rights and benefits that are granted to individuals who share their gender identification but not their sex are not extended to transgender persons. Numerous transgender persons encounter

both homophobia and heterosexism because of the correlation between the two. This is because some individuals mistakenly link transgender identification homosexuality, with or because transgender people may also lean nonheterosexual in their sexual orientation. disparaging remarks making someone based on how you understand their gender identity Contrary to "gay bashing," "trans bashing" refers to the act of attacking someone based on their perceived sexual orientation. Regardless of the motivation behind the negative attitude, the target of transphobia and cissexism suffers greatly as a result. Transgender persons who experience transphobia face tremendous stress, which can cause them to experience feelings of guilt, low self-worth, estrangement, and inadequate. Many times, transgender teenagers attempt to escape their homes, quit school, or use other harmful coping mechanisms to deal with their stress, selfharm or drug use. Transgender individuals are believed to have particularly high suicide rates because to the way they are seen by society from society and their family.

The study by Law et al. (2011) examined the relationship between transsexual employees' disclosure behaviors in the workplace and their degree of disclosure to individuals outside of work, as well as the organizational supportiveness of transsexual identity centrality. Organizational commitment and work satisfaction are favorably correlated with these disclosure behaviors.

Although few studies have looked at this, transgender people report frequently encountering transphobia at work phenomenon. India is a nation renowned theprogressjournals.com

for its variety, stunning culture, and historic landmarks. One such historic home in Known by several names as Kinnar, Hijra, and Eunuchs, humans are the members of the transgender society and so on. temples of worship were constructed for transgender people in India, a nation where they were formerly revered as gods.

They are situated in a predicament to defend their acceptability and rights. That this historic town overlooked until recently is heart breaking. 2011, Census. There are 4.9 lakh transgender people in India, according to the 2011 census number. "India's Transgender Community," 2015 Although many of them choose not to disclose their identities for fear prejudice, transgender activists organizations believe that the number is between 60 and 80 lakh. A research on the "Human Rights of Transgender People" found that nearly 99 percent of the participants. Gender Identity as a Third They had experienced social rejection on multiple occasions in the past, according to (John, 2017).

To forbid such actions. the Indian government passed the Transgender Person (Protection of Right) Act, 2019. Welfare measures and discrimination transgender people against the workplace, in schools, and in health care implemented to safeguard transgender people's rights. Additionally Community members continue to face hardships even after this bill was passed. numerous difficulties in the workplace, in society, and in obtaining education.

Research Methodology:

Research Design:

Studying transphobia among private sector workers involves the use of comparative research. Quantitative research design is used to conduct the current study. Statistical analysis of the data was done with SPSS. It was determined by descriptive analysis what the features included in the data. One statistical method that is employed is the Mann Whitney U Test.

The Problem:

The goal of the study is to comprehend how transphobia among workers can worsen harassment, abuse, and disruption of a transgender employee's mental health.

The research's objectives:

In order to evaluate the degree of gender discrimination, transphobia, and gender stereotypes among private workers.

- To ascertain whether there is a discernible variation in transphobia and gender-based harassment among private professionals
- to determine whether age has a substantial impact on the prevalence of transphobia and gender-based harassment among private employees based on their educational background,
- to determine whether there is a discernible difference in transphobia and gender bashing among private employees credentials.
- To determine if employment in the private sector significantly affects employees' experiences with

theprogressjournals.com

- transphobia and gender bashing variety based on marital status,
- To determine whether there is a discernible difference in transphobia and gender bashing among private employees.
- To determine whether the state in which private employees are located significantly affects their levels of transphobia and gender bashing.

Hypothesis:

- **H**₀₁ Based on their gender, private employees won't experience a discernible difference in transphobia or gender bashing.
- **H**₀₂ Age will not significantly affect the prevalence of transphobia or gender-based harassment among private employees.
- **H**₀₃ Based on the educational background, private employees' transphobia and gender bashing will not differ significantly certification.
- **H**₀₄ The job status of private employees will not significantly affect the level of transphobia or gender bashing style.
- H₀₅ Based on their marital status, private employees will not experience significantly different levels of transphobia or gender bashing.
- **H**₀₆ The state in which private employees are located will not significantly affect the level of transphobia or gender bashing.

The Conceptual Structure:

The study includes gender bashing and transphobia/genderism as variables.

Define Operational Framework:

A cisgender person's incapacity to comprehend and sympathize with a transgender person is the operational definition of transphobia.

Factors pertaining to the population:

State location, marital status, work type, age, gender, and educational attainment are the demographic factors. the region that includes several states, including Maharashtra and Karnataka.

Sample Distribution:

Conditions of inclusion Participant age ranges (ages 21 to 50) and employment status in the private sector are the inclusion criteria for this study. as well as post-HSC academic credentials.

Qualifications for exclusion: The age ranges below which individuals are excluded are 21 and above, public sector workers, students, and those who reside in disabled people, foreign workers, gay people, and transgender people.

Illustration and methodology

The research will take place in Maharashtra, Karnataka, and other states, with employees serving as the sample population. The process of sampling Purposive sampling is the method employed.

The study procedure:

To facilitate the conduct of the survey, a Google form was built and distributed analyze. Both the participant's consent form and the Genderism and Transphobia Scale questions were included using the works of Brian L.B. Willoughby and theprogressjournals.com

Darryl B. Hill. Through the responses to the Google form, the raw data was gathered distributed. A Google form with instructions to carefully read the questions and offer an honest response was given to members of the selected generation in order to gather data through the purposive sample approach. I then used Microsoft Excel to score the data. Once the necessary statistical analysis was completed, SPSS was utilized.

Definition of the Tool:

Sex Roles, Vol. 53, Nos. 7/8, October 2005; Darryl B. Hill and Brian L.B. published the Genderism and Transphobia Scale. Willie. 32 items make up the test, and a 7-point scale is employed. On the Likert scale, the validity is its 0.95 reliability and Capacity to identify the well-known disparities between genders in perceptions of transgender individuals.

Evaluation of Statistical Data:

MS was utilized to gather and grade the data.

Ms Excel software. descriptive analysis carried out to comprehend the properties of the data. With SPSS software, the mean and SD were determined.

Using descriptive statistics: with mean and standard deviation, evaluate the amounts of variables.

Inferential Statistics: To identify significant differences in variables based on demographic information, the Mann Whitney U-test was employed variables.

Findings And Talks:

Goal 1: To evaluate the degree of gender discrimination and transphobia/genderism among private sector workers.

Descriptive Data on Gender-Bashing and Transphobia/Genderism Among Private Sector Workers.

Table 3.1: Descriptive Statistics

| Variables | N | Mean | Std. Deviation |
|---------------------------|-----|--------|-------------------|
| Transphobia/ Genderism | 150 | 128.36 | 49.6663 |
| Gender Bashing | 150 | 39.16 | 15.8576 |

3.2 Result showing test of normality for transphobia/genderism and gender bashing Table

| | Kolmogorov- | | Shapiro-Wilk | | | |
|--------------|-------------|-----|--------------|----------|----|---|
| | Smirnova | | | | | |
| | Stat | df | Sig | Statisti | df | S |
| | isti | | | c | | i |
| | c | | | | | g |
| Transphobia/ | 0.2 | 150 | 0 | 0.685 | 15 | 0 |
| Genderism | 59 | | | | 0 | |
| Gender | 0.3 | 150 | 0 | 0.606 | 15 | 0 |
| Bashing | 17 | | | | 0 | |

3.2: Normality Test

The test of normalcy for the variables of gender bashing and transphobia /genderism is presented in

Table 3.2. According to the table, gender bashing and transphobia/genderism have significant values of 0.000. Therefore, the comparison will be measured using a non-parametric test.

Goal 2: Determine whether gender makes a substantial difference in transphobia and gender-based harassment among private employees.

H₀₁ Employees in the private sector won't experience appreciable differences in transphobia or gender-based harassment based on their gender.

theprogressjournals.com

Results of the gender-based gender bashing and transphobia /genderism Mann Whitney U test:

Table 3.3: Mann Whitney U Test on transphobia /genderism and gender bashing based on gender.

| Variables | Mann Whitney U | Sig. (2-tailed) |
|-----------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Transphobia/Genderism | 2607 | 0.531 |
| Gender bashing | 2727 | 0.862 |

The relevance of gender-based gender bashing and transphobia/genderism are displayed in Table 3.3 along with their Mann Whitney U Transphobia/Genderism based on gender has a Mann Whitney U value of 2607 and a sig value of.531, respectively. Men and women working in the private sector do not differ significantly in their levels of transphobia or gender discrimination. Moreover, when it comes to gender-based harassment, the Mann Whitney U value and sig value are, respectively, 2727 and.862. This indicates that there Male and female employees in the private sector did not significantly differ in their genderbashing. So, the null hypothesis which claims that gender will not significantly transphobia among affect private employees accepts.

Goal #3: To based on their age, discover a substantial difference in transphobia and gender bashing among private employees.

H₀₂ Age will not significantly affect the prevalence of

3.4 Results of the age-based gender bashing and transphobia/genderism Mann Whitney U test

Table 3.4: Mann Whitney U Test for gender discrimination and transphobia/genderism dependent on age

transphobia or gender-based harassment among private sector workers.

| Variables | Mann Whitney U | Sig. (2- |
|--------------|----------------|----------|
| | | tailed) |
| Transphobia/ | 1281 | 0348 |
| Genderism | | |
| Gender | 1205 | 0.172 |
| Bashing | | |

The Mann Whitney U value significance levels of gender bashing, transphobia, and genderenderism displayed in Table 3.4 according to age. Based on age, transphobia /genderism has a Mann Whitney U value of 1281 and a sig value of.348, respectively. Age-based differences in transphobia and gendered statistically discrimination are not significant. The sig and Mann Whitney U value Based on age, the value of gender bashing is 1205 and 172, respectively. Therefore, the null hypothesis, which predicts that there won't It acknowledged that there are notable agerelated differences in transphobia among employees. private

Goal 4: Determine whether there is a discernible difference in transphobia and gender-based harassment among private employees based on their qualities in education.

H03 There won't be any notable variations in Employees in the private sector are subjected to transphobia and gender bashing because of their academic credentials.

Mann Whitney U test results on genderbashing and transphobia/ genderism according to educational background.

Table 3.5 shows the Mann Whitney U Test results for gender bashing and transphobia/genderism according to educational background.

| Variables | Sig. (2-tailed) |
|---------------------------|-----------------|
| Transphobia/ Genderism | 0.956 |
| Gender Bashing | 0.523 |

The significance of gender bashing and transphobia/genderism is broken down by educational background in Table 3.5.

educational According to their background, transphobia and gendernism have a significance level of 0.956. It demonstrates that there is no discernible variation in transphobia or gendernism among staff members according to their level of education. Furthermore, The significance of gender-based harassment based on educational background is 0.523. demonstrates that there are noteworthy Based on their educational backgrounds, employees were found to be discriminated against in terms of gender. Consequently, the null hypothesis that asserts that a person's educational background will not significantly affect how transphobic a private employee is is acknowledged.

Goal 5: Determine whether there is a discernible difference between transphobia and gender bashing among private employees based on on their kind of employment.

theprogressjournals.com

H04 Employees in the private sector will not experience a discernible difference in transphobia or gender bashing based on their type of employment

Mann Whitney U test results on genderbashing and transphobia/genderism according on employment type

Table 3.6: Mann Whitney U Test on Gender Bash and Transphobia /Genderism according to Employment Type

| Variables | Sig. (2-tailed) |
|-----------------------|-----------------|
| Transphobia/Genderism | 0.022 |
| Gender Bashing | 0.027 |

The levels of transphobia/genderism and gender bashing, broken down by type of displayed in Table work, are Depending on the nature of their profession, employees' levels of transphobia and gendernism varied significantly (sig=0.022). Additionally, according on the type of job, there is a substantial variation in gender bashing between employees (sig=0.027). Thus, the null hypothesis, which contends that there won't be any appreciable variation in transphobia among private employees according to their Employment type is disregarded, and the other theory is acknowledged.

Goal 6: Determine whether a private employee's marital status significantly affects their level of transphobia and gender bashing status.

H05 Based on their marital status, private sector workers won't experience a discernible difference in transphobia or gender bashing status.

Mann Whitney U test results on gender discrimination, transphobia, and gender denial according on marital status

theprogressjournals.com

Mann Whitney U Test on Gender Blasting and Transphobia/Genderism depending on Marital Status is shown in Table 3.7.

| Variables | Mann | Sig. (2- |
|--------------|-----------|----------|
| | Whitney U | tailed) |
| Transphobia/ | 2043 | .272 |
| Genderism | | |
| Gender | 2042 | .260 |
| Bashing | | |

Based on marital status, Table 3.6 displays Mann Whitney U and the degrees of relevance of gender bashing, transphobia, and gender gendererism. Based on marital status, the Mann Whitney U value and sig value of transphobia/genderism are, respectively, 2043 and 272.

Based on their marital status, employees' levels of transphobia and gendernism do not significantly differ from one another. The Mann Based on marital status, the gender bashing Whitney U value and sig value are 2042 and 260, respectively. It demonstrates that there isn't Depending on their marital status, employees' gender bashing differed significantly. Consequently, the null hypothesis that affirms that there will be no appreciable variation in transphobia among private employees according to their marital status.

Goal 6: To identify the important differences in gender-bashing and transphobia among private employees according to where they work condition.

H05 Based on the location, there won't be any appreciable differences in transphobia and gender-based harassment among private sector workers condition.

3.7 Mann Whitney U test results on gender bias, transphobia, and gender derogation according to state of residence

Table 3.7: Mann Whitney U Test on Gender Blasting and Transphobia/Genderism according to State of Residence

| · | |
|-----------------------|-----------------|
| Variables | Sig. (2-tailed) |
| Transphobia/Genderism | 0.022 |
| Gender bashing | 0.027 |

Based on the state in which they are located, Table 3.7 displays the degrees of relevance of gender bashing and transphobia/genderism. Based on their educational background, transphobia and gendernism have a significance level of 0.022. Workers' levels of transphobia and gender stereotypes change significantly depending on the state in which they work, as does their gender. bullying amongst coworkers according to a state's location, hence the null hypothesis is rejected.

Overview And Results

Regarding the state of transgender issues in the workplace going forward, there are plenty of reasons for optimism. In the corporate sector as well as the broader public, acceptance and knowledge of transgender issues are growing. Workplace protections based on gender identification have grown from 3% to 83 percent of Fortune 500 businesses between 2020 and 2017.

Many unsettling transgender workplace challenges still exist, though. Legal protections for transgender employees are haphazard. High rates of harassment and

the progress journals.com

abuse, as well as several barriers in working cultures. Employers who are transgender-friendly must prioritize improving knowledge of their particular difficulties and modifying procedures and suit their guidelines to different requirements. There are many obstacles that transgender workers must overcome to find work. Considering a three-fold increase in unemployment higher.

According to the U.S. average, a large portion of the prejudice against transgender employees in the workplace is seen in the employment procedure.

The truth about how much acceptance they actually have in society: Because of incentives, 27% of Americans think they wouldn't make friends with transgender people.

The Daily Beast's Samantha Allen advises transgender candidates to conceal their identities throughout interviews and application processes: At best Obviously, in the real world, having brown eyes and being transgender would have almost little bearing on the hiring process. Yet in the recognizing the unpleasant inevitability—or at the very least, the probability—of being exposed in the current context could be a crucial first step in light of each person's unique circumstances.

Abuse at work, employers that are unprepared, and cultural obstacles are issues that trans-employees face. Upon entering the office, transgender employees encounter numerous with additional challenges, such as alarmingly high rates of overt maltreatment, employers that mean well but lack capacity, work environments where transgender workers are penalized for disclosing their identities, even subtly. DEI Training Facilitators'

Guide practice initiating and facilitating fruitful dialogues.

Workplace transgender difficulties, such as persistent harassment and discrimination. Though certain transgender-friendly However, it is still very common for transgender workers to encounter prejudice and targeted harassment at work.

A 2011 survey found that although opinions of this type of care varied, 90% of participants said they had "directly encountered abuse or harassment in the workplace. There are several ways that this abuse and harassment might appear: questionnaire

The rates of abuse that respondents saw were as follows: 50% of respondents stated they had experienced harassment from coworkers, and 41% said they had been the subject of inappropriate queries on their transgender identity or state of surgery; Twenty percent claimed they were barred from speaking with clients directly, and twenty percent claimed they lost out on a promotion. 7.5% reported having been the victim of physical violence, with 6% reporting sexual assault. Tragically, the already elevated instances of abuse climb. continue to Greater among transgender workers of color.

Findings

Based on their type of job, private sector employees' levels of transphobia and gender denial fluctuate significantly, according to the study's findings. The results also indicate a noteworthy variation in gender-based harassment within the private sector depending on the kind of work and the state in which they are located.

theprogressjournals.com

Summarization

The study's conclusion shows that there are no appreciable differences in transphobia or gender stereotypes among private sector workers according to factors like gender, age, educational background, marital status, or state of residence. Furthermore, gender does not significantly differ.

Discrimination against workers in the private sector on the basis of marital status, age, gender, and educational attainment.

The research findings show a noteworthy variation in transphobia and gender stereotypes among employees in the private sector. depending on the nature of the job. Additionally, a noteworthy distinction in gender-based harassment was discovered among employees in the private sector. according to the state and kind of job.

Implication:

This study's practical application is to understand how transgender employees' experiences with their jobs can vary. Speaking about employment types, years of experience, training, and other factors are indicative of permanent employees, temporary employees, interns, and other types. Development can encourage gender sensitivity among staff members and assist shift attitudes around transgender employees.

Limitations of the study

- It could not uncover the actual amount of transphobia among the employees.
- The study was limited to 21-50 years of age group of individuals.
- Insufficient time
- Regional restrictions

Restricted to workers in the private sector

Idea for additional investigation

As a result, if this study needs to be amended in the future, all of the aforementioned variables can be included. Essentially, the focus of this research was on transphobia among employees in the private sector, negating the public and government sectors. Moreover, the By expanding the age bracket from 21 to 60, may be conducted research effectively and will provide them with a broader understanding. since the level of response to transphobia varies among age groups.

Acknowledgement

My profound appreciation goes out to Dr. Rema M.K. Professor, my research supervisor at Kristu Jayanti College Autonomous, for allowing me to do research and for her crucial advice and support during this process. Her. I'm really inspired by your dynamism, vision, genuineness, and drive. I learned from her how to do research using this methodology.

and to convey the study findings in the most understandable manner feasible. Working and studying under her direction was a great honor and privilege. She has given me a lot, and I am really appreciative.

For their love, support, care, and sacrifices in raising and educating me for the future, I am incredibly appreciative of my parents. My own My pals Netal and Laxmi deserve special recognition., For their strong desire to see this project through to its effective conclusion, Sam and Maitrayee deserve praise. In closing, I would want to express

theprogressjournals.com

my gratitude to everyone who has helped me, whether directly or indirectly, to finish the project.

References:

- 1. McFadden, C., & Crowley-Henry, M. (2016). A systematic literature review on trans* careers and workplace experiences. Sexual Orientation and Transgender Issues in Organizations, 63–81.

 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-29623-4 4
- 2. Law, C. L., Martinez, L. R., Ruggs, E. N., Hebl, M. R., & Akers, E. (2011). Trans-parency in the workplace: How the experiences of transsexual employees can be improved. Journal of Vocational Behavior, 79(3), 710–723. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvb.2011.03.018.
- 3. Hill, D. B., and Willoughby, B. L. B. (2005). The development and validation of the Genderism and Transphobia Scale. Sex Roles 53, 531–544. doi: 10.1007/s11199-005-7140-